



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

WALTER A DEL GALLO MD
1311 E GEN CAVAZOD # 204
KINGSVILLE TEXAS 78363

DWC Claim #:
Injured Employee:
Date of Injury:
Employer Name:
Insurance Carrier #:

Respondent Name

TX PUBLIC SCHOOL WC PROJECT

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 01

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-12-1054-01

MFDR Date Received

December 6, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Please note authorization on file for physical therapy and doctors visits seen enclosed..."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,937.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Regarding disputed date of service June 9, 2011, the basis for Self-Insured's denial of payment is three-fold: 1) Requestor provided the services in question before obtaining preauthorization 2) The ICD-9 code utilized by Requestor (717.89-Other internal derangement of knee other) was not consistent with the ICD-9 codes for which Physical Therapy was preauthorized. This is particularly significant because Self-Insured has not accepted liability for any other "internal derangement" conditions associated with Employee's knee other than a medical meniscus tear... Regarding disputed dates of service June 14, 2011 through August 15, 2011, Self-Insured's denial of payment is based on extent of injury (Requestor's use of CPT code 717.89 instead of 836.0) as well as his failure to seek reconsideration of the medical bills in dispute prior to invoking medical dispute resolution.

Response Submitted by: Creative Risk Funding

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 9, 2011 through September 14, 2011	97110-GP, 97010-GP, 99080-73 and 99455-V5-WP	\$1,937.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 sets forth general provisions regarding dispute of medical bills.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1 sets out the procedures for requesting and setting a Benefit Review Conference.
4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on December 6, 2011.
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated August 4, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury
- 50 – These are non-covered services because this is deemed a medical necessity by the payer

Explanation of benefits dated August 29, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury
- 50 – These are non-covered services because this is deemed a medical necessity by the payer

Explanation of benefits dated September 6, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 197 – Payment denied/reduced for absence of precertification/authorization
- 198 – Payment denied/reduced for exceeded precertification/authorization
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury

Explanation of benefits dated September 13, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury
- 50 – These are non-covered services because this is deemed a medical necessity by the payer

Explanation of benefits dated October 14, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 197 – Payment denied/reduced for absence of precertification/authorization
- 198 – Payment denied/reduced for exceeded precertification/authorization
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury
- 50 – These are non-covered services because this is deemed a medical necessity by the payer

Explanation of benefits dated October 27, 2011

- 167 – This (these) diagnosis (es) is (are) not covered.
- 197 – Payment denied/reduced for absence of precertification/authorization
- 198 – Payment denied/reduced for exceeded precertification/authorization
- 216 – Based on the findings of a review organization
- 219 – Based on extent of injury
- 97 – The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.

Issues

1. Was the request for medical fee dispute resolution filed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307?
2. Are the disputed services eligible for medical fee dispute resolution under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307?

Findings

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(a)(4) defines a medical fee dispute as a dispute that involves an amount of payment for non-network health care rendered to an injured employee (employee) that has been determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of that employee's compensable injury.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(b) requires that “If a dispute regarding compensability, extent of injury, liability, or medical necessity exists for the same service for which there is a medical fee dispute, the disputes regarding compensability, extent of injury, liability or medical necessity shall be resolved prior to the submission of a medical fee dispute for the same services in accordance with Labor Code §413.031 and 408.021.”
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e) (3) (H) requires that if the carrier has raised a dispute pertaining to compensability, extent of injury, or liability for the claim, the Division shall notify the parties of the review requirements pursuant to §124.2 of this title, and will dismiss the request until those disputes have been resolved by a final decision, inclusive of all appeals. The appropriate dispute process for unresolved issues of compensability, extent and/or liability requires filing for a Benefit Review Conference pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1 prior to requesting medical fee dispute resolution. Review of the submitted documentation finds that there are unresolved issues of compensability, extent and/or liability for the same service(s) for which there is a medical fee dispute. No documentation was submitted to support that the issue(s) of compensability, extent and/or liability have been resolved prior to the filing of the request for medical fee dispute resolution.
4. The requestor has failed to support that the disputed services are eligible for medical fee dispute resolution pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the requestor has failed to establish that the respondent’s denial of payment reasons concerning liability for the injured employee’s workers’ compensation claim, compensability of that claim, and/or extent-of-injury issues with that claim have been resolved through the required dispute resolution process as set forth in Texas Labor Code Chapter 410 prior to the submission of a medical fee dispute request for the same services. Therefore, medical fee dispute resolution staff has no authority to consider and/or order any payment in this medical fee dispute. As a result, no amount is ordered.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	June 14, 2013 _____ Date
--------------------	---	--------------------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.